

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,435	12/03/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	SEL 132 DIV 1	1752
26568	7590 01/27/2004		EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD SUITE 2850			QI, ZHI QIANG	
	DAMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, 1	L 60606		2871	
		·	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/005,435	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
navisory notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Qi	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica at timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official inely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official inely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper the fee. The approper the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		$\overline{x}$			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:		·				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	,					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 36-83.						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b)  disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>/30/2002</u> . <sub>/</sub>				
0. Other:	oup50	ROBERT H. KIM	MINER			
	SUPER TEC	VISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 2	2800			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive, since using photolithography method that is a conventional method for patterning textured surface, and the textured body formed on the pixel electrode that would have been at least a obvious variation. Forming textured rough surface over the pixel electrode for preventing excess reflection that is conventional.